

भारत सरकार
खान मंत्रालय
भारतीय खान ब्यूरो
क्षेत्रीय खान नियंत्रक का कार्यालय

स्पीड पोस्ट

संख्या : 614(2)/एमपी-बी-288/08-डीडीएन.

100, ओल्ड नेहरू कालोनी,
देहरादून (उत्तराखण्ड),
दिनांक : 01.04.2016

सेवा में :
मै0 सोहन सिंह नीत सिंह एण्ड सन्स,
गांव एवं पो0ऑ0-कामरू,
तहसील - पावटा साहिब,
जिला-सिरमौर (हि0प्र0)

विषय : मै0 सोहन सिंह नीत सिंह एण्ड सन्स की जिला -सिरमौर (हिमाचल प्रदेश) स्थित भीमगोडा लाइमस्टोन
खान की संशोधित ड्राफ्ट खनन योजना

सन्दर्भ : आपका पत्र संख्या-शून्य दि0-17.02.2016
इस कार्यालय का पत्र संख्या सम दि0 19.02.2016
महोदय,

उपरोक्त संशोधित ड्राफ्ट खनन योजना इस कार्यालय में दो प्रतियों में दि. 17.02.2016 को प्राप्त हुई
है। खान का निरीक्षण श्री डी0 आर0 गुर्जर, सहायक खान नियंत्रक द्वारा दि0 12.03.2016 को किया गया। निरीक्षण एवं
संशोधित ड्राफ्ट खनन योजना के अवलोकन के पश्चात पाई गई कमियों का ब्यौरा अनुलग्नक में दिया गया है।

अतः आपको सलाह दी जाती है कि आप अनुलग्नक में दर्शाई गई सभी कमियों को दूर कर उचित
दस्तावेज तीन प्रतियों में साफ्ट कॉपी (सीडी) के साथ इस कार्यालय में पत्र के जारी होने के 30 दिन के अन्दर प्रस्तुत
करें ताकि आगे की कार्यवाही की जा सके। निर्धारित 30 दिन में उचित दस्तावेज सभी कमियों को दूर करते हुए प्रस्तुत
नहीं करने की स्थिति में इस पर अस्तिम कार्यवाही कर दी जायेगी। संशोधित ड्राफ्ट खनन योजना जो इस पत्र के साथ
संलग्न कर आपको भेजी जा रही है, जिसको भी फाइनल उचित दस्तावेज की तीन प्रतियों के साथ प्रस्तुत करें।

संलग्न : एक प्रति.

भवदीय

(कै0 एस0 यादव)
क्षेत्रीय खान नियंत्रक
भारतीय खान ब्यूरो

C/C

प्रतिलिपि सूचनार्थ-

1- खान नियंत्रक (30), भारतीय खान ब्यूरो, अजमेर।

2 मै0 उदयपुर मिन-टेक प्रा0 लि0, 206, अपेक्षा कॉम्प्लेक्स, सेक्टर-11, हिरन मगरी, उदयपुर-313
001(राजस्थान) को इस पत्र के साथ संशोधित ड्राफ्ट खनन योजना की एक प्रति भी संलग्न की जा रही है।
(स्पीड पोस्ट)

क्षेत्रीय खान नियंत्रक
भारतीय खान ब्यूरो

C/C

सिवारी e.L1.D:\desktop dated 01-07-2014 DRKG-Hrind-Fo-Ser-MP_Bhimgoada SSMS KSJ.doc

भारतीय
01/04/16

Scrutiny comments in respect of Modified Mining Plan of Bhimgoda Limestone Mine of M/s Sohansingh Meetsingh & Sons (3.32 Hectares) in District Sirmour, Himachal Pradesh State submitted under rule 22(6) of MCR 1960 and 23(B) of MCDR 1988.

1. On the cover page information about lease period in light of MMDR Amendment Act 2015 has not been given.
2. Submission of document shall be changed in the light of recently notified Minerals (Other than Atomic and Hydrocarbons Energy Minerals) Concessions Rules, 2016 is to be mentioned.
3. The lease area mentioned on the cover page as well as in the text is 3.32 Ha where as in the list of mines provided by State Govt it is 3.50Hect.It needs clarified. The conversation from Bigha to Hectare as per Norms of Revenue department of HP is to be mentioned and should be certified by Geology & Mining Department. All these details should be enclosed in the introductory chapter.
4. Authentic lease plan by Mining department of State Govt showing the location of the lease area by red colour should be enclosed. Authentic lease plan shall be the basis for the preparation of all the plans and sections. There should not be any deviations in all the plans and sections with respect to configuration given in the lease plan.
5. There is no signature on Consent letter/Undertaking/Certificate from lessee as enclosed in Part B.
6. There is no signature of key persons on Certificate from RQP as enclosed in Part B.
7. The latitude and longitude of the boundary pillars mentioned on the page no. 5 are not matching with key plans and other plans.
8. On page no.5 the only one topo sheet no. mentioned while in the key plan two topo sheet no. mentioned.
9. On page no.7 under Status of compliance of violations pointed out by IBM, latest violations has not been attended.
10. On perusal of violations (Page no. 7) it is observed that it is a case of repeated violations of Rule 13(1) of MCDR 1988. Justification as well as line of action is to be mentioned.
11. Highest and lowest RL has not been mentioned in the relevant plates.
12. In Part A Para Geology chapter on page no. 14 all the quantities of mineral occurring up to 1940mRL, has been considered under G1(Measured) category & all the quantities of limestone further 10m below proved limit has been considered as Indicated Resources & further 10m has been considered Inferred category. What is the basis of that criterion? Transformation from 332 to 211 given on page no. 17 not in order.
13. During the field visit vertical escarpments were observed. Suitable proposal should be incorporate in the mining chapter to reduce vertical escarpments.
14. Vertical escarpments also exist just adjoining to the common boundary with M/s K.N. Cements; it should be presented with adequate no. of sections along East-West. Moreover there is need for reducing the height of such vertical escarpments. Action plan is to be proposed accordingly.

15. There is no signature of key persons on enclosed feasibility report.
16. Copy of ID proof of Shri Sohan Singh has been enclosed but now is no more so ID proof of partner is to be enclosed.
17. M/s Sohan Singh Meet Singh & Sons is a partnership firm. Names and address of partners have not been given. Certificate of incorporation of firms have also not been enclosed. Nominated owner as per Mines Act 1952 has also not been given.
18. Community Development has not been discussed.
19. Minimum Rs 2 Lack of Bank Guarantee required as Modified MP has submitted under OTFMA Category.
20. All the annexure should be attested by RQP for their authenticity.
21. There are several typographical mistakes, which require to be corrected. All the corrections made by pencil and pen in the draft copy should also be attend during the preparation of final copies.
22. Additional comments shall also be communicated to you in case of receipt of comments from State government if any.
23. A CD covering the entire document and plans should be enclosed at the time of final submission. An undertaking in this regards by the RQP that CD contains same documents & plates as submitted by hard copy of document & text should be given.

Plates

24. The RL of contour should be decreased from Northern side to Southern Side of the lease area but after 1900mRL contour below that 1975mRL contour has been shown. After precise resurvey of the area contouring of the whole area is to be carried out.
Plate No.2 (Key Plan)
25. Latitude and longitudes are not matching with text.
Plate No. 2A & 2B (Surface & Geological Plan)
26. Highest and lowest RL have not been mentioned on the plate.
Plate No. 2C (Geological Sections)
27. RL of the sections are not matching with Geological Plan.
28. **Plate No. 3A & 3B**
29. Sections are not matching with plan.